

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/561,507	01/25/2007	Hermanus Willem Van Heerden	15193.0005	6418		
29952 SUTHERLAND ASBILL & BRENNAN LLP 999 PEACHTREE STREET, N.E.			EXAM	EXAMINER		
			SIMONE, TIMOTHY F			
ATLANTA, G	A 30309		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		3742				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			03/16/2009	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/561,507	VAN HEERDEN, HERMANUS WILLEM		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Timothy F. Simone	3742		

		LAUIIIIIGI	Airoint	
		Timothy F. Simone	3742	
Davied fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING DI- HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING DI- HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING XI CRI 1. SSX (6) MORTH's from the mailing date of the communication. SSX (6) MORTH's from the mailing date of the communication or the communication of the c	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,
Status				
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Dienoeit	ion of Claims			
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-4,7-15,17 and 18 is/are pending in t 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15,17 and 18 is/are w Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4 and 7-14 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vithdrawn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed onis/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachmen	t(s)			
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	

- Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 - Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/19/05

ı)∟	Interview Summary (PTO-413
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) Other: _____.

Application/Control Number: 10/561,507

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Drawings

Applicant should ensure that (1) all reference characters in the drawings are described in the detailed description portion of the specification and (2) all reference characters mentioned in the specification are included in the appropriate drawing Figure(s) as required by 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5).

Election/Restrictions

During a telephone conversation with Examiner Becker on 1/14/09 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of claims 1-4 and 7-14. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 15, 17 and 18 stand withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Specification

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/561,507

Art Unit: 3742

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4 and 7-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In the claims, for example, it is not clear what is meant by such recitations as "more or less" (claim 1, line 6, 11-12), "centring" (claim 2, line 2), etc. Clarification is requested.

Application/Control Number: 10/561,507

Art Unit: 3742

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 and 7-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scallen (US 4,704,959), Lippincott (US 1,077,482) and Takahashi (US 3,489,357). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have sliced fruit or vegetable in a helical shape in Scallen using the urging means as suggested by either one of Lippincott or Takahashi. Or, it would have been obvious to provide Lippincott or Takahashi with a helical cutting blade member in the manner suggested by Scallen. The precise arrangement of parts and the features variously recited in the dependent claims are considered obvious features that are deemed an obvious matter of design choice and well within the ordinary skill in the art, since applicant has not disclosed that such design features solve any specific problem and it appears that the invention would perform equally well. The remaining limitations would then have been obvious design choices only.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents are cited for further teachings of devices whose structure is similar to that instantly disclosed.

Application/Control Number: 10/561,507

Art Unit: 3742

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy F. Simone whose telephone number is 571-272-1407. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays between 8:00am-5:00pm. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Timothy F. Simone/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3742

Timothy F. Simone Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742 Application/Control Number: 10/561,507 Page 6

Art Unit: 3742